



MCAS Annual Comparisons

* **NOTE:** Performance level percentages are not calculated if student group less than 10. Data Last Updated on September 16, 2009


GRADE 08 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS				
PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2006	2007	2008	2009
ADVANCED	29	38	27	31
PROFICIENT	68	50	62	60
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	4	11	9	6
FAILING	0	1	2	3



GRADE 08 - MATHEMATICS				
PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2006	2007	2008	2009
ADVANCED	29	30	39	32
PROFICIENT	36	35	36	40
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	31	26	18	19
FAILING	4	10	7	8



GRADE 08 - SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY				
PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2006	2007	2008	2009
ADVANCED	11	7	3	5
PROFICIENT	53	48	60	54
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	31	42	28	33
FAILING	5	4	9	8






□


GRADE 07 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS				
PERFORMANCE 2006 2007 2008 2009				
LEVEL				
ADVANCED	22	13	12	22
PROFICIENT	61	70	69	62
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	16	16	19	13
FAILING	2	1	0	3

GRADE 07 - MATHEMATICS				
PERFORMANCE 2006 2007 2008 2009				
LEVEL				
ADVANCED	25	25	22	28
PROFICIENT	42	41	35	38
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	24	24	31	26
FAILING	9	9	12	8


GRADE 06 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS				
PERFORMANCE 2006 2007 2008 2009				
LEVEL				
ADVANCED	5	8	18	13
PROFICIENT	69	69	59	68
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	20	22	19	20
FAILING	5	2	3	0




GRADE 06 - MATHEMATICS				
PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2006	2007	2008	2009
ADVANCED	17	20	39	24
PROFICIENT	42	43	33	43
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	28	25	18	31
FAILING	13	12	10	2



GRADE 05 - ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS				
PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2006	2007	2008	2009
ADVANCED	27	32	22	16
PROFICIENT	50	44	53	67
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	18	20	25	14
FAILING	5	4	0	2



GRADE 05 - MATHEMATICS				
PERFORMANCE LEVEL	2006	2007	2008	2009
ADVANCED	21	35	32	34
PROFICIENT	29	37	42	37
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	32	21	24	20
FAILING	18	8	2	9



□

GRADE 05 - SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY				
PERFORMANCE 2006 2007 2008 2009				
LEVEL				
ADVANCED	39	26	48	36
PROFICIENT	35	56	31	42
NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	22	15	21	19
FAILING	5	3	0	3

